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This is a book about *normative* expert systems, that is, systems which treat uncertainty using the normative framework of probability theory. The gauntlet of probabilistic reasoning in expert systems was thrown down by the developers of MYCIN when they originated certainty factors. It has been picked up by quite a few, and serious battles have been joined. Now one of the owners of the glove has admitted a success by a probabilist.

In his foreword to this book, Ted Shortliffe lays down his major criterion for such a success—the development of "a nontrivial, efficient and effective system using formal probabilistic methods." On reading the book, it is clear why Shortliffe has conceded. *Probabilistic Similarity Networks*, which won Heckerman the 1990 ACM Doctoral Dissertation Award, lays out in detail the development of Pathfinder IV, an expert system for the diagnosis of lymph-node diseases. In it we find a new technique for easing the difficulty of constructing the system's network skeleton (similarities networks), an approach for simplifying the assessment of conditional probabilities in the resulting knowledge graph (partitions), a description of the application of these theoretical ideas to an important real world problem, and a careful evaluation of the results.

Work on probabilistic methods during the 1980s was crucial in paving the way for the current work. But only some of the apprehensions about using true probabilities in rule-based systems were calmed by the fruit of that decade (hence the reservations of Shortliffe and others). Bayesian networks (a.k.a. probabilistic networks, belief nets, influence diagrams *sans* decision nodes, and now, knowledge maps) are currently seen as an extremely powerful way to break down the exponential problem of specifying a joint distribution over a collection of discrete random variables. Various computational techniques for updating a distribution in light of fresh evidence have appeared, and these too have quieted some arguments against the use of probabilities. Many arguments still remain, of course, and while hardly anyone maintains that probabilistic networks are a universal means of knowledge representation in AI, this body of results is impressive.

Assuming that you believe that a network representation is suitable for your problem domain, and that you want your system backed by the normative force of probability theory, there remain the nagging problems of the actual construction and assessment of the network. Heckerman's first achievement is the theory of similarity networks, which helps to simplify the elicitation of the network which records conditional dependences among the variables at hand. By allowing the expert to focus on simpler conditioning events, the similarity network and associated "local knowledge maps" simultaneously streamline the development of the overall network structure and reduce the number of conditional probabilities which must be assessed.

A small example may help. Suppose node A (designated the "distinguished node") has three possible outcomes, $a_1$, $a_2$, and $a_3$. We may think of node A as a "disease" node, with the $a_i$ representing three outcome diseases which are mutually exclusive and exhaustive in the domain at hand. Other nodes in the graph might represent symptoms. Suppose further that, in the judge-ment of the expert, outcomes $a_1$ and $a_2$ are quite similar, so that the set of symptoms that can distinguish them is a subset of the complete symptom set for the disease node taken as a whole. The local knowledge map for this disease pair, which records only the distinguishing features, will be correspondingly less elaborate than the global knowledge map, which must account for all diseases and symptoms. Heckerman shows that these local knowledge maps, constructed for pairs of outcomes, can be used as building blocks for the global map. In the carefully worked out theory, he shows that the global representation, formed as the graph union of the local maps, is necessarily correct given the opinions of the expert at the local level.

A similarity network, distinct from but related to, the overall knowledge map, has as its nodes the possible disease outcomes, and each arc represents the expert's view that the nodes (diseases) joined by it are similar from the diagnostic point of view (and thus difficult to distinguish). If two diseases are linked by an arc—are similar—then presumably only a few symptoms will be useful as clues in differentiating them. These are just the symptoms included in the local map for that pair. The local maps thus encode instances of *subset independence*. Heckerman's point is that quite often the probability of any one of a subset of possible diseases is independent of a particular symptom, given that the true disease is in the subset, while the probabilities diseases outside the subset are not.

By using the same general strategy of grouping over similar outcomes, the assessment of probabilities is also simplified. If certain symptom doesn't help to distinguish between a pair of diseases, the conditional probabilities of that symptom given either of the diseases must be the same. More generally, a *partition* of the set of outcomes in the disease node, relative to a symptom, is a grouping of diseases such that the symptom is not relevant in terms of distinguishing which disease actually obtains. In Pathfinder IV, the similarity network idea and the use of partitions reduced the number of conditional probabilities which needed to be assessed more than fivefold.

The book is well written and organized in a straightforward way. The first chapter is introductory, and Chapter 2 proceeds directly to a concrete example, again of the medical variety. Much of the work presented in the book has appeared in journal articles and working papers, but the approach in this chapter is more relaxed and intuitive. It might be possible to decide if similarity networks are suitable for one's own expert system project just from the description here. However, extensions of the theory are given in later chapters, so one should read through if in doubt.

Chapter 3 gives the full theoretical story. The basic problem is to show that the simplified local knowledge maps can be combined to give a global knowledge map which preserves the probabilistic meaning intended by the expert. By analogy with logic, Heckerman defines *soundness* and *completeness* for transformations of probability constraints. In these terms, he is able to show that the global map formed by transformations on the simplified maps (through some intermediate steps that needn't concern us here) is equivalent to the global map which would have been obtained by conventional means.

There are some technical caveats to this development. First, the overall distribution must be strictly positive, that is, no entry in the joint distribution may be zero. Second, the structure of the knowledge map is restricted; in particular, there must be a single top-level node on which the similarity network is constructed. For medical diagnosis problems, this is conveniently the disease node, consisting of mutually exclusive and exhaustive outcomes. All symptoms are taken as following causally from one or more diseases (but there may also be conditional dependencies among them). This certainly seems quite severe; if all the "action" in a knowledge map is not clustered around a central node, much of the simplification in construction and assessment disappears.
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Later in the book, Heckerman suggests a work-around for this problem when the distinguished node has only a few predecessors, but does not comment on the more general situation where the network is large in diameter. Finally, it is immediately apparent that similarity networks have little to offer probabilistic networks whose nodes are primarily propositional or binary. The method depends on analyzing subsets of outcomes, and there are only trivial subsets for nodes which are either true or false.

Chapters 4 and 5 are devoted to a discussion of Pathfinder. In Chapter 4, its similarity network is presented, and examples of local knowledge maps are given. Statistics on the construction cycle show, as mentioned before, that a large reduction in the number of assessed probabilities can be had, while still retaining the validity of the finished product. The inference (probability propagation) algorithm, admittedly not optimised for the application, is discussed briefly.

From the medical point of view, the two most important questions remaining are, "How does Pathfinder IV perform?" and "Was it worth it?" Chapter 5 addresses these questions. The first is approached by having the domain expert rate Pathfinder's output (an ordering of diseases by likelihood) given input from case histories. This is both an overall rating and a comparison with the output generated by a version of Pathfinder built before the similarity network concept was developed. The second question attempts to use a decision-theoretic framework to judge the cost-effectiveness of the Pathfinder project. A utility model for diagnosis was constructed, and was used to compute the expected utility of Pathfinder's diagnoses. I leave it to other readers to decide how convincing this portion of the evaluation is.

For me the greatest contribution of Heckerman's work is that it shows us how to exploit a new form of independence (subset independence) in knowledge maps. Even armed with the knowledge that, in a network, node probabilities conditioned only on direct predecessors are sufficient to completely specify the entire joint distribution, huge complexities can still arise. If the graph is complete (each node connected to all others), we have gained essentially nothing. Even in sparse graphs, large numbers of conditional probabilities may need to be collected. Any relief, by means of subset independence or other insights which will undoubtedly follow, is welcome. Probabilistic networks have been the subject of intense study for many years now, but as Heckerman shows, there is still room for clever analysis.

This is not to shortchange the remainder of the work. In addition to the Pathfinder system itself, Heckerman and his colleagues have constructed a program called SimNet, which organizes and partially automates the process of assembling the local knowledge maps and assessing them using partitions. From the expert's point of view, the program provides a kind of "graphical user interface" to the process of knowledge engineering.

Probabilistic Similarity Networks shows that there is often more to a probabilistic network than meets the eye. A certain level of qualitative structure is apparent from the unassessed network, since conditional independences between nodes can be read off once the rules are learned. But now we see that there is another level of structure beneath. Decomposing a node into subsets of outcomes provides new independencies to exploit. There is no reason to suppose that further insight along these lines will not yield further increases in the expressiveness and compactness of network representations.
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Object oriented programming (OOP) is a primary feature of many popular AI tools. The Art of the MetaObject Protocol is by the principal creators of the Common Lisp Object System (CLOS), probably the best conceived and most flexible of today's object oriented languages. This book is an annotated walk-through of the implementation of a subset of CLOS the authors call Cloette. The authors are all from Xerox PARC.

Judging only from the title, I had hoped that this book would address conceptual issues. It does so only narrowly, and does not discuss the use of OOP in applications. The Art of the Metaobject Protocol will be of interest primarily to toolsmiths, and possibly to users of CLOS. The body of the book consists of incremental listings, discussions and descriptions of the functions that turn a standard Common Lisp implementation into one with Cloette. There are exercises for the reader, mainly regarding alternate implementations of language features, and minimal examples of user/application code.

The authors characterize the metaobject protocol as "... the idea that one can and should 'open languages up,' allowing users to adjust the design and implementation to suit their particular needs. In other words, users are encouraged to participate in the language design process." The approach is to make the basic elements of the programming language—classes, methods, and generic functions—accessible as objects which may be altered. Since they underlie the language in which they are written and embedded, they are "metaobjects."

Metaclasses were available even in early Smalltalk versions. Yet the idea that the meaning of the simplest elements of the language can be changed, without modifying the program itself, remains provocative. We are told that it is desirable so that one language can serve the needs of many users. There is a discussion of the circularities that can occur as you try to change a metaobject, and how to prevent them. I would have welcomed an acknowledgement of the hazards of writing applications in such an environment, if not a full discussion.

Similarly, the authors characterize the metaobject protocol as work in reflection. It clearly is that, but it is separate from the reflection that a system's data and methods might include within its application domain. It would be interesting to investigate the exogenous (or is it reflective?) knowledge of its underlying language that must be added to an application, in order for it to determine whether it is residing in a metaobject environment that will let it operate correctly. The book does not include a mention of the issue. An inverse approach to compatibility can be seen in [1].

The work itself, for most readers, is made up of two distinct parts: the idea of the metaobject protocol, and the implementation of that idea. The authors take for granted that you are interested in the idea. And why not? Everyone talks about the power of objects, and it can hardly be emphasized more than by linguistic reflection. But again, you will have to consider the implications on your own. On the implementation side, perhaps the best part of the presentation is the indication of a careful partitioning of the