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\begin{abstract}
Out-of-Vocabulary (OOV) detection and recovery is an important aspect of reducing Word Error Rate (WER) in Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR). In this paper, we evaluate the effect of OOV detection and recovery for a low-resource language on WER. We use a small seed corpus of continuous speech and improve the vocabulary by incorporating the detected OOV words. We use a syllable-model to learn OOV words and augment the word-model with these words leading to improved recognition. Our research investigates the effect on OOV detection and recovery after adding missing syllable sounds in the syllable model using a Text-to-Speech (TTS) system. Our experiments are conducted using 5 hours of continuous speech Kannada corpus. We use an already available Festival TTS for Hindi to generate Kannada speech. Our initial experiments report an improvement in OOV detection due to addition of missing syllable sounds using a cross-lingual TTS system.
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\end{abstract}

\section{Introduction}

OOV words are those words that are encountered during decoding of speech and are not present in the Lexicon of an Automatic Speech Recognition (ASR) System. There has been work on OOV detection and learning to improve WER in ASR since early nineties. Earlier method used filler models to mitigate the presence of OOV words\cite{Bazzi2001, Qin2002, Rudnicky2004, Tsang2005}. Recently, Iwami et al.\cite{Iwami2013} have studied use of filler model for OOV term detection in the query for spoken document retrieval. They make use of the syllable lattice prepared before hand to identify the OOV term. Hybrid search space for OOV detection was initially used by Bazzi \cite{Bazzi2001} that had the advantage of the filler model as well as the sub-word representation. Hierarchical hybrid Language Model (LM) where OOV words are represented as separate sub-word entries in the Lexicon and LM are employed for OOV detection \cite{Shi2015}. Wang et al.\cite{Wang2017} have combined word and characters in a hierarchical n-gram LM. Another approach for OOV detection is the use of Flat hybrid LM \cite{Wang2017}. Here there is a single LM where the sub-word representation for OOV words are specified interspersed with the In-Vocabulary (IV) words. Hierarchical and Flat hybrid models have also been used to achieve open vocabulary speech recognition by reducing the OOV words\cite{Tsang2005, Shi2016, Shi2017}. Sheikh et al.\cite{Sheikh2018} propose a neural bag-of-words for retrieval of proper names that form a majority of OOV words that an ASR would come across. Semantic word classes\cite{Zeng2019} and Part-of-Speech (POS) tagging\cite{Nouza2020} along with subword units have been used for better OOV detection and recovery. There has been research on use acoustic features for OOV detection. Pham et al.\cite{Pham2015} have devised a technique of rescoring the hypothesis for OOV detection based on acoustic similarity scores obtained using Dynamic Time Warping (DTW). They obtain two different scores to re-rank the detections first, by concatenating subword samples and second, by segmenting the hypothesis into sub-words. Wang et al.\cite{Wang2017} investigate the use of local acoustic probabilities to effect the decoding process and show an improvement in OOV detection. Nouza et al.\cite{Nouza2020} suggest the possibility of sharing AMs within the groups of South Slavic languages. They bootstrap the AM from Czech phonemes.

In this paper, we address the problem of OOV detection and recovery for Kannada which is a major language of India and the official language of Karnataka state. Kannada is a low-resource language with respect to building an ASR. ASR for low-resource languages, having limited Lexicon and speech data, will undoubtedly come across OOV words. Additionally, Kannada is a highly inflected and agglutinative language (Proto-Dravidian), and each root word can have up to 400 forms depending upon case, number, gender, and so on \cite{Sitaram2019}. In order to achieve good speech recognition accuracy, we would require a corpus with all possible words in the language. A lot of time and effort is required to build such a comprehensive speech corpus. Our approach involves starting with a small seed corpus, recognizing OOV words, and incorporating them into ASR system thus increasing the size of the corpus.

Based on our study of the related literature, we infer that OOV detection is achieved by sub-sequence representations with focus on improving WER for languages with sufficient resources. The work of Qin and Rudnicky\cite{Qin2002} aims at building an ASR that can learn OOV words and enhance its vocabulary. They achieve this by using flat hybrid model for OOV detection and Phonetome to Grapheme model to recover the detected OOV words. The focus of our work is to build an ASR system for a low-resource language, that can detect and learn OOV words in text data and enhance its vocabulary automatically. We make use of a syllable model ASR for OOV detection and recovery. We conduct our experiments on 5 hours of multi-speaker Kannada continuous speech (read speech) corpus based on 1000 Kannada sentences. This corpus is divided into training (700 sentences) and test data (300 sentences) sets. Since the training data cannot consist of all the syllables of Kannada, we propose the use of a TTS System to generate audio for syllables in the test audio that are missing in the training data. We use a TTS trained on Hindi to generate Kannada audio. We study the effect of incorporating TTS generated audio (for the missing syl-
lables) on OOV detection and WER. We believe this approach would increase the ability of an ASR system to recognize more words and also reduce WER.

2. Method

Study by Qin et al [25] and Qu et al. [26] suggests that sub-word models perform better than phonemes for low resource conditions. We employ a method where the hypothesis of a syllable (as sub-word) model, after post-processing is used along with the Lexicon of the word model to detect OOV words. Kannada is alphasyllabary with its orthography representing the syllables sounds [27]. These syllables are known as aksharas and form basic pronunciation units. This makes the representation of syllables as words in the Lexicon more straightforward without requiring any Grapheme to Phoneme mapping system.

2.1. Baseline

A word-model ASR is trained with a seed corpus of Kannada containing 5 hours of continuous (read) speech as the baseline. A context dependent Acoustic Model (AM) is trained using 80% of the audio and corresponding transcripts. Since the data for training was only a few hours (~4 hours) of recording, we used a Gaussian Mixture Model (GMM)-Hidden Markov Model (HMM) model (and not Deep Neural Network) with 8 Gaussians per state and 200 tied states using Baum-Welch algorithm. The features used were 13 Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficients (MFCC) along with their delta and double-delta values.

We generate a tri-gram LM for word-model from the original transcripts containing words. The Lexicon for the word model contains pronunciations of the different words. The pronunciations are defined as phonemes. 14 vowels and half consonant sounds of Kannada that appear in the train set are defined as phonemes. The phone-set comprises of 48 phonemes, including SIL for silence. We use CMU PocketSphinx ASR toolkit\(^1\) and Language Modeling Toolkit.

2.2. OOV Detection and Recovery

We train a separate syllable based ASR for OOV detection and recovery. For syllable-model LM, we first syllabify the word transcripts using syllabification rules for Kannada. The LM for syllable-model is generated using these syllabified transcripts. The Lexicon for the syllable model contains syllables listed as words. The pronunciations for syllables are automatically derived from the words using orthographic rules and are defined in terms of the same phoneme set used for word model. Combinations of word boundary markers (for beginning of a word and end of a word) along with the syllables are specified as different words in the Lexicon resulting in a total of 856 syllables that appear in the training set.

We post-process the syllable hypothesis (1-best) from the syllable ASR to form word candidates. The word candidates are looked up in the Lexicon of the word model to determine potential OOV words. These words are then validated and pruned against Kannada wiki corpus\(^2\) resulting in a set of valid OOV words that are not present in the training set. We add the valid OOV words to the Lexicon of the word-model. The pronunciations of recovered Kannada words are derived from orthographic rules for Kannada. Sentences containing the OOV word are extracted from the Kannada Wikipedia corpus. The LM generated for these sentences is merged with the existing word-based LM to form an enhanced word LM with the learned OOV words. Figure 1 depicts our approach of OOV detection using syllable-model.

2.3. Inclusion of New Syllables using TTS into the Syllable ASR

The total syllables in Kannada, considering vowel(V), (consonant-vowel)CV, CCV and CCCV as syllable forms [28] amounts to more than half a million. Eliminating some of the combinations we can estimate the number of syllables used in practice approximately to 15000 or more. Combining word boundaries with the syllables would result in around 45000 syllables or more. The Lexicon defined based on the training data contains 856 syllables considering word boundary context, which is a minute fraction of the total possibilities of syllable sounds that can appear in Kannada speech. The ability of an ASR system to recognize OOV syllables not in the Lexicon would definitely aid in learning more OOV words. Our objective is to incorporate OOV syllables into the syllable-model using a TTS.

For our experiments, we identify the syllables present in the test data that are not present in the training set. The transcripts for these new syllables and words containing these syllables are prepared. Since Indian Languages are syllable based with similar sounds we can cross lingually use a TTS trained in one Indian Language to generate speech for another. We use the already trained and available Festival TTS\(^3\) for Hindi to generate audio for Kannada speech. We generate the audio waveforms for the new syllables and the words based on the prepared transcripts.

We augment the Lexicon of the syllable-model ASR with the new syllables and words. We generate a new LM for the transcripts containing new syllables and words and merge it with the existing LM resulting in an augmented LM for the syllable-model ASR. We retrain the Acoustic Model after including the TTS generated audio for the new syllables and words. The augmented syllable-model ASR is used for OOV detection and recovery.


3. Experiments

3.1. Data

For our experiments, we recorded a multi-speaker corpus using the Kannada transcripts from IIT-Hyderabad\(^4\). The transcripts contain 1000 sentences in Kannada with a vocabulary of 1741 words. The training data was recorded for the first 700 sentences by 34 speakers resulting in 4 hours of training audio. The test data was recorded for the remaining 300 sentences from 12 speakers resulting in 1 hour of test audio. We cleaned the transcripts to remove punctuations and then transcribed them to English alphabets using Baraha software. We then converted the Baraha English scripts to English alphabet notations used are from the common label set provided by IIT-Madras\(^5\). Below is an example of the conversion to IIT-M label set.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kannada script:</th>
<th>Romanized notation:</th>
<th>Baraha</th>
<th>Transliteration:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>बलिका</td>
<td>“sikṣaṇa padeda balika”</td>
<td>“shikShaNa paDeda baLika”</td>
<td>Common label: “SHIKSXANXA PADXEDA”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We then defined the Lexicon from the prepared common label notation transcripts. The Lexicon for the word-model contains words and their pronunciation defined in terms of phoneme as shown below:

**Word-model transcript:** “SHIKSXANXA PADXEDA BALXIKA”

Lexicon entry for the word-model:

| SHIKSXANXA | SH I K SX A NX A |
| PADXEDA | P A DX E D A |
| BALXIKA | B A LX I K A |

We prepared separate Lexicon for the syllable-model. Entries in syllable-model Lexicon contain syllables defined as words and their pronunciations as phonemes. We used syllabification rules for Kannada to convert word transcripts into syllable form. Word boundaries (’ , $) along with syllables [Qin et al. 2012][10] were used for better recognition. Below is as example:


The syllables constituting the words “SHIKSXANXA PADXEDA” defined in the Lexicon using phones as given below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SH,I</th>
<th>K SX A</th>
<th>NX,AS</th>
<th>P,A</th>
<th>DX,E</th>
<th>D,AS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.2. Evaluation

We used Festival TTS for Hindi to generate audio for the missing Kannada syllables and words containing the syllables. We used diphone model and Hindi voice from an adult male to generate Kannada audio. Training the initial system with multi-speaker corpus enabled us to incorporate the Hindi TTS male voice into the Acoustic Model.

For comparison purpose, we prepared three different syllable-models (Model A, B and C) and evaluated their effect on OOV detection. We describe the three syllable-models in the following subsection:

3.2.1. Model A:

For Model A, we train a syllable model from the initial multi-speaker transcripts. These transcripts and audio include only those syllables that occurred in the original training data and no new syllables are added. We use this syllable-model to detect OOV words and augment the word-model with the detected OOV words and sentences.

3.2.2. Model B:

For Model B, we identify 41 new syllables present in the test set but not in the training set. We prepare 82 transcripts for the new syllables. Two transcripts are defined for each syllable - the first transcript contains only the syllable representation (repeated 10 times) and, the second transcript consists of the Kannada words (defined to accommodate different contexts for the syllable, repeated 5 times) containing the syllable. We add these syllables and words to the syllable-model Lexicon. We train a new LM for the 82 transcripts and merge them with the existing LM to obtain an enhanced LM for the syllable-model. The Acoustic Model is same as Model A. We use this syllable-model with augmented Lexicon and LM (but not AM) for OOV detection and enhance the word-model with the detected OOV words and sentences.

3.2.3. Model C:

For Model C, we use Festival Hindi TTS to generated audio for the 82 Kannada transcripts (described in Model B). We use these audio recordings to retrain the Acoustic Model along with the other multi-speaker recordings. We also augmented the Lexicon and LM with the new syllables and words. We used this syllable-model containing augmented Lexicon, LM and Acoustic Model OOV detection and enhanced the word-model with the detected OOV words and sentences.

4. Results and Discussion

We use the WER from the word-model ASR trained on multi-speaker recordings (without OOV detection and recovery) as baseline. We report WERs for the word-model after employing OOV detection and recovery using the three different syllable models. We also compare the performance of the different syllable models.

Fig.2 depicts WERs for the baseline and different word models after OOV inclusion using different syllable models. The least WER of 38.02% is obtained using the syllable-model ASR incorporating retrained Acoustic Model with TTS audio and, augmented Lexicon and LM with the new syllables and words (Model C). We also report the number of OOV words and new syllables recognized by the enhanced word-model ASR after OOV recovery in Table 1.

The Kannada script and corresponding romanized notations along with IIT-M label set notation for the word examples used in the discussion is listed in 2. Our results show that use of a syllable based ASR helps detect and recover OOV. For example, the word “NIWRXTTARAADARU” is not recognized in the initial word model since it does not appear in the Lexicon and is an OOV. This word can be broken down into syllable-
Figure 2: Word model WER at using different stages of enhanced syllable-model ASR for OOV detection.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syllable Model</th>
<th>OOV words recognized</th>
<th>New syllables recognized</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model A</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model B</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model C</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Kannada word examples with different notations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Native script</th>
<th>Romanized notation</th>
<th>IIT-M label set notation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>आयुर्वेद</td>
<td>आयुर्वेदा</td>
<td>AAYURWEEDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>निवृत्तरादु</td>
<td>निवृत्तरादुर</td>
<td>NIWRXTTARADARU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ब्र्ह्मदावना</td>
<td>ब्र्ह्मदावना</td>
<td>BRXQDAAWANA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>व्र्मदावना</td>
<td>व्र्मदावना</td>
<td>WRXQDAAWANA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>भारतादा</td>
<td>भारतादा</td>
<td>BHARATADA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

However, due to the limited size of the corpus not all the syllables are present in the training set. For example words AAYURWEEDA and BRXQDAAWANA are not recognized because the syllable RWEE (CCV) and syllable BRX are not present in the training set. Augmenting the syllable-model ASR to include these syllables in the Lexicon and Language Model (Model B) facilitates detection and inclusion of the word AAYURWEEDA but not BRXQDAAWANA. This is because all the sounds in the word AAYURWEEDA are present in the initial acoustic model. The word BRXQDAAWANA is decoded as WRXQDAAWANA in the syllable model because the syllable BRX is not present in the training data. The sound of BRX is decoded as the syllable WRX that is present in the training data and is the closest match. Incorporating TTS generated audio for the new syllables (including BRX) results in correct detection and recovery of the word BRXQDAAWANA. However, only a 0.8% improvement using Model C over Model B for OOV detection and recovery can be attributed to the fact that the frequency of the new syllables in the test data is very low (1-2 times).

Table 3: WER of different syllable models after OOV inclusion.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Syllable Model WER</th>
<th>Word Model WER (with OOV inclusion)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Model A</td>
<td>53.23%</td>
<td>39.46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model B</td>
<td>49.26%</td>
<td>38.82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model C</td>
<td>49.23%</td>
<td>38.02%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 depicts the comparison of WER of different syllable models (after post-processing to form word-candidates) and WER of word-model using corresponding syllable models for OOV detection and recovery. Using a separate syllable ASR does not affect the In-Vocabulary (IV) words in the model word. For example, the word “BHAARATADA” is decoded as two words in syllable model as BHAARATA and DA. This may lead to false OOV word, DA which is pruned during validation against wiki data. The word BHAARATADA is an IV word and correctly decoded with the word model. Thus, the syllable-based recognition as a parallel framework has the advantage of incorporating OOV terms into and at the same time preserving the word level constraints of the word model. Table 3 reinforces the claim. The WER for the word model after OOV inclusion is lower than the WER for the corresponding syllable model used for OOV recovery and detection.

5. Conclusions

Our experiments show that the WER for a low-resource language ASR system can be reduced by starting with a small seed corpus and learning OOV words. Our approach of using a separate syllable model for OOV detection and recovery does not affect the recognition of In-Vocabulary words and at the same time improves recognition of the word-model. Also, our study of different types of syllable-model for OOV detection depicts that enhancing the Acoustic Model with TTS generated audio helps learn new syllables aiding in better OOV detection and improved WER. We believe this approach of using TTS audio has not been tried before. Our approach is applicable to other Indian Languages or any language with a close relation between orthography and pronunciations.

We believe the WER we obtained are due to low amount of data. The WER we have obtained are still comparable with those reported as baseline using GMM for Interspeech Challenge. In future, we plan to evaluate our approach on other low resource languages with more data than what we have experimented with. We also plan to make use of Deep Neural Networks for better acoustic modelling. There has been research on use of web data for augmenting LMs[29][30][31]. We plan to study the use of Kannada wiki corpus for generating LM and TTS audio for better learning.
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