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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Names</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General Chairs</td>
<td>Gautam Das, Chris Jermaine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local Arrangements</td>
<td>Byron Gao, Carlos Ordonez, Anshumali Shrivastava, Ali Saman Tosun</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Publicity</td>
<td>Daisy Zhe Wang, Eugene Wu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Web</td>
<td>Mahashweta Das</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sponsorships</td>
<td>Paolo Papotti, Semih Salihoglu</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finance</td>
<td>Nan Zhang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Registration</td>
<td>Saravanan Thirumuruganathan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentorship</td>
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## Submissions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Research</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>submitted</td>
<td>413</td>
<td>569</td>
<td>489</td>
<td>458</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accepted</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(25%)</td>
<td>(20%)</td>
<td>(19%)</td>
<td>(20%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Industrial</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>submitted</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accepted</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>4 invited</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(4 invited)</td>
<td>(2 invited)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demos</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>submitted</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accepted</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tutorials</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>submitted</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>accepted</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Research Submissions

with $\alpha$ coauthors
2134 distinct authors of all submissions
Reviewing Process

Goals

• Ensure reviewers are experts on papers they reviewed
• Add reviewers as needed
• Ensure reviews are high quality
• Encourage discussion
• Did not open all reviews to entire PC
Reviewing Process

• Reviewer bids
  – Eager, Willing, In-a-pinchn, Not Willing

• Reviewing assignments
  54%  Eager bidder
  41%  Willing bidder
  4%   In-a-pinchn bidder
  0.4% Not Willing bidder
# PC Members reviewing $\times$ papers

Round 2 only

External Reviewers
# Reviews with Length of $x$ characters

- 2017 to 2018, average(length) grew from 3000 to 3900
- 2018 median(length) = 3500 characters
With $x$ Discussion Comments
Changes to Program

• Moved tutorials to Tuesday – Thursday
• Industry sessions are separate
• Reduced session parallelism from 5 to 3
Presentation Length

- Reviewers made recommendations
- PC Group Leaders made recommendations
  - 20 definitely
  - 20 if possible
  - Borderline
  - 10 definitely
- Some highly-rate papers are 10-minutes
  - Narrow topic, only requires 10’, needs >> 20’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>52 talks</th>
<th>36 talks</th>
<th>13 industry talks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>@ 20 min</td>
<td>@ 10 min</td>
<td>@ 22-24 min</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Final Remarks

• I’d welcome feedback

• These slides will be posted on my web page