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Abstract

Cross-linguistic similarities are reflected by the speech sound systems of languages all over the world. In this work we try to model such similarities observed in the consonant inventories, through a complex bipartite network. We present a systematic study of some of the appealing features of these inventories with the help of the bipartite network. An important observation is that the occurrence of consonants follows a two regime power law distribution. We find that the consonant inventory size distribution together with the principle of preferential attachment are the main reasons behind the emergence of such a two regime behavior. In order to further support our explanation we present a synthesis model for this network based on the general theory of preferential attachment.

1 Introduction

Sound systems of the world’s languages show remarkable regularities. Any arbitrary set of consonants and vowels does not make up the sound system of a particular language. Several lines of research suggest that cross-linguistic similarities get reflected in the consonant and vowel inventories of the languages all over the world (Greenberg, 1966; Pinker, 1994; Ladefoged and Maddieson, 1996). Previously it has been argued that these similarities are the results of certain general principles like maximal perceptual contrast (Lindblom and Maddieson, 1988), feature economy (Martinet, 1968; Boersma, 1998; Clements, 2004) and robustness (Jakobson and Halle, 1956; Chomsky and Halle, 1968). Maximal perceptual contrast between the phonemes of a language is desirable for proper perception in a noisy environment. In fact the organization of the vowel inventories across languages has been satisfactorily explained in terms of the single principle of maximal perceptual contrast (Jakobson, 1941; Wang, 1968).

There have been several attempts to reason the observed patterns in consonant inventories since 1930s (Trubetzkoy, 1969/1939; Lindblom and Maddieson, 1988; Boersma, 1998; Flemming, 2002; Clements, 2004), but unlike the case of vowels, the structure of consonant inventories lacks a complete and holistic explanation (de Boer, 2000). Most of the works are confined to certain individual principles (Abry, 2003; Hinskens and Weijer, 2003) rather than formulating a general theory describing the structural patterns and/or their stability. Thus, the structure of the consonant inventories continues to be a complex jigsaw puzzle, though the parts and pieces are known.

In this work we attempt to represent the cross-linguistic similarities that exist in the consonant inventories of the world’s languages through a bipartite network named PlaNet (the Phoneme Language Network). PlaNet has two different sets of nodes, one labeled by the languages while the other labeled by the consonants. Edges run between these two sets depending on whether or not a particular consonant occurs in a particular language. This representation is motivated by similar modeling of certain complex phenomena observed in nature and society, such as,

- Movie-actor network, where movies and
actors constitute the two partitions and an edge between them signifies that a particular actor acted in a particular movie (Ramasco et al., 2004).

- Article-author network, where the edges denote which person has authored which articles (Newman, 2001b).
- Metabolic network of organisms, where the corresponding partitions are chemical compounds and metabolic reactions. Edges run between partitions depending on whether a particular compound is a substrate or result of a reaction (Jeong et al., 2000).

Modeling of complex systems as networks has proved to be a comprehensive and emerging way of capturing the underlying generating mechanism of such systems (for a review on complex networks and their generation see (Albert and Barabási, 2002; Newman, 2003)). There have been some attempts as well to model the intricacies of human languages through complex networks. Word networks based on synonymy (Yook et al., 2001b), co-occurrence (Cancho et al., 2001), and phonemic edit-distance (Vitevitch, 2005) are examples of such attempts. The present work also uses the concept of complex networks to develop a platform for a holistic analysis as well as synthesis of the distribution of the consonants across the languages.

In the current work, with the help of PlaNet we provide a systematic study of certain interesting features of the consonant inventories. An important property that we observe is the regime power law degree distribution of the nodes labeled by the consonants. We try to explain this property in the light of the size of the consonant inventories coupled with the principle of preferential attachment (Barabási and Albert, 1999). Next we present a simplified mathematical model explaining the emergence of the two regimes. In order to support our analytical explanations, we also provide a synthesis model for PlaNet.

The rest of the paper is organized into five sections. In section 2 we formally define PlaNet, outline its construction procedure and present some studies on its degree distribution. We dedicate section 3 to state and explain the inferences that can be drawn from the degree distribution studies of PlaNet. In section 4 we provide a simplified theoretical explanation of the analytical results obtained. In section 5 we present a synthesis model for PlaNet to hold up the inferences that we draw in section 3. Finally we conclude in section 6 by summarizing our contributions, pointing out some of the implications of the current work and indicating the possible future directions.

2 PlaNet: The Phoneme-Language Network

We define the network of consonants and languages, PlaNet, as a bipartite graph represented as $G = (V_L, V_C, E)$ where $V_L$ is the set of nodes labeled by the languages and $V_C$ is the set of nodes labeled by the consonants. $E$ is the set of edges that run between $V_L$ and $V_C$. There is an edge $e \in E$ between two nodes $v_l \in V_L$ and $v_c \in V_C$ if and only if the consonant $c$ occurs in the language $l$.

Figure 1 illustrates the nodes and edges of PlaNet.

2.1 Construction of PlaNet

Many typological studies (Lindblom and Maddieson, 1988; Ladefoged and Maddieson, 1996; Hinskens and Weijer, 2003) of segmental inventories have been carried out in past on the UCLA Phonological Segment Inventory Database (UPSID) (Maddieson, 1984). UPSID initially had 317 languages and was later extended to include 451 languages covering all the major
language families of the world. In this work we have used the older version of UPSID comprising of 317 languages and 541 consonants (henceforth UPSID$_{317}$), for constructing PlaNet. Consequently, there are 317 elements (nodes) in the set $V_L$ and 541 elements (nodes) in the set $V_C$. The number of elements (edges) in the set $E$ as computed from PlaNet is 7022. At this point it is important to mention that in order to avoid any confusion in the construction of PlaNet we have appropriately filtered out the anomalous and the ambiguous segments (Maddieson, 1984) from it. We have completely ignored the anomalous segments from the data set (since the existence of such segments is doubtful), and included the ambiguous ones as separate segments because there are no descriptive sources explaining how such ambiguities might be resolved. A similar approach has also been described in Pericliev and Valdés-Pérez (2002).

2.2 Degree Distribution of PlaNet

The degree of a node $u$, denoted by $k_u$, is defined as the number of edges connected to $u$. The term degree distribution is used to denote the way degrees ($k_u$) are distributed over the nodes ($u$). The degree distribution studies find a lot of importance in understanding the complex topology of any large network, which is very difficult to visualize otherwise. Since PlaNet is bipartite in nature it has two degree distribution curves one corresponding to the nodes in the set $V_L$ and the other corresponding to the nodes in the set $V_C$.

Degree distribution of the nodes in $V_L$: Figure 2 shows the degree distribution of the nodes in $V_L$ where the x-axis denotes the degree of each node expressed as a fraction of the maximum degree and the y-axis denotes the number of nodes having a given degree expressed as a fraction of the total number of nodes in $V_L$.

It is evident from Figure 2 that the number of consonants appearing in different languages follow a $\beta$-distribution $^2$ (see Bulmer, 1979 for reference). The figure shows an asymmetric right skewed distribution with the values of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ equal to 7.06 and 47.64 (obtained using maximum likelihood estimation method) respectively. The asymmetry points to the fact that languages usually tend to have smaller consonant inventory size, the best value being somewhere between 10 and 30. The distribution peaks roughly at 21 indicating that majority of the languages in UPSID$_{317}$ have a consonant inventory size of around 21 consonants.

Degree distribution of the nodes in $V_C$: Figure 3 illustrates two different types of degree distribution plots for the nodes in $V_C$; Figure 3(a) corresponding to the rank, i.e., the sorted order of degrees, (x-axis) versus degree (y-axis) and Figure 3(b) corresponding to the degree ($k$) (x-axis) versus $P_k$ (y-axis) where $P_k$ is the fraction of nodes having degree greater than or equal to $k$.

Figure 3 clearly shows that both the curves have two distinct regimes and the distribution is scale-free. Regime 1 in Figure 3(a) consists of 21 consonants which have a very high frequency (i.e., the degree $k$) of occurrence. Regime 2 of Figure 3(b) also correspond to these 21 consonants. On the other hand Regime 2 of Figure 3(a) as well as Regime 1 of Figure 3(b) comprises of the rest of the consonants. The point marked as $x$ in both the figures indicates the breakpoint. Each of the regime in both Figure 3(a) and (b) exhibit a power law of the form

$$y = Ax^{-\alpha}$$

In Figure 3(a) $y$ represents the degree $k$ of a node.
corresponding to its rank $x$ whereas in Figure 3(b) $y$ corresponds to $P_k$ and $x$, the degree $k$. The values of the parameters $A$ and $\alpha$, for Regime 1 and Regime 2 in both the figures, as computed by the least square error method, are shown in Table 1.

It becomes necessary to mention here that such power law distributions, known variously as Zipf’s law (Zipf, 1949), are also observed in an extraordinarily diverse range of phenomena including the frequency of the use of words in human language (Zipf, 1949), the number of papers scientists write (Lotka, 1926), the number of hits on web pages (Adamic and Huberman, 2000) and so on. Thus our inferences, detailed out in the next section, mainly centers around this power law behavior.

3 Inferences Drawn from the Analysis of PlaNet

In most of the networked systems like the society, the Internet, the World Wide Web, and many others, power law degree distribution emerges for the phenomenon of preferential attachment, i.e., when “the rich get richer” (Simon, 1955). With reference to PlaNet this preferential attachment can be interpreted as the tendency of a language to choose a consonant that has been already chosen by a large number of other languages. We posit that it is this preferential property of languages that results in the power law degree distributions observed in Figure 3(a) and (b).

Nevertheless there is one question that still remains unanswered. Whereas the power law distribution is well understood, the reason for the two distinct regimes (with a sharp break) still remains unexplored. We hypothesize that,

**Hypothesis** The typical distribution of the consonant inventory size over languages coupled with the principle of preferential attachment enforces the two distinct regimes to appear in the power law curves.

As the average consonant inventory size in UPSID is 21, so following the principle of preferential attachment, on an average, the first 21 most frequent consonants are much more preferred than the rest. Consequently, the nature of the frequency distribution for the highly frequent consonants is different from the less frequent ones, and hence there is a transition from Regime 1 to Regime 2 in the Figure 3(a) and (b).

**Support Experiment:** In order to establish that the consonant inventory size plays an important role in giving rise to the two regimes discussed above we present a support experiment in which we try to observe whether the breakpoint $x$ shifts as we shift the average consonant inventory size.

**Experiment:** In order to shift the average consonant inventory size from 21 to 25, 30 and 38 we neglected the contribution of the languages with consonant inventory size less than $n$ where $n$ is 15, 20 and 25 respectively and subsequently recorded the degree distributions obtained each time. We did not carry out our experiments for average consonant inventory size more than 38 because the number of such languages are very rare in UPSID.

**Observations:** Figure 4 shows the effect of this shifting of the average consonant inventory size on the rank versus degree distribution curves. Table 2 presents the results observed from these curves with the left column indicating the average inventory size and the right column the breakpoint $x$. The table clearly indicates that the transition occurs at values corresponding to the average consonant inventory size in each of the three cases.

**Inferences:** It is quite evident from our observa-
Table 1: The values of the parameters A and $\alpha$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regime</th>
<th>Figure 3(a)</th>
<th>Figure 3(b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Regime 1</td>
<td>$A = 368.70$</td>
<td>$A = 1.040$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha = 0.4$</td>
<td>$\alpha = 0.71$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regime 2</td>
<td>$A = 12456.5$</td>
<td>$A = 2326.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\alpha = 1.54$</td>
<td>$\alpha = 2.36$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: The transition points for different average consonant inventory sizes

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Avg. consonant inv. size</th>
<th>Transition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4 Theoretical Explanation for the Two Regimes

Let us assume that the inventory of all the languages comprises of 21 consonants. We further assume that the consonants are arranged in their hierarchy of preference. A language traverses the hierarchy of consonants and at each step decides with a probability $p$ to choose the current consonant. It stops as soon as it has chosen all the 21 consonants. Since languages must traverse through the first 21 consonants regardless of whether the previous consonants are chosen or not, the probability of choosing any one of these 21 consonants must be $p$. But the case is different for the $22^{nd}$ consonant, which is chosen by a language if it has previously chosen zero, one, two, or at most 20, but not all of the first 21 consonants. Therefore, the probability of the $22^{nd}$ consonant being chosen is,

$$P(22) = p \sum_{i=0}^{20} \binom{21}{i} p^i (1-p)^{21-i}$$

where

$$\binom{21}{i} p^i (1-p)^{21-i}$$

denotes the probability of choosing $i$ consonants from the first 21. In general, the probability of choosing the $n+1^{th}$ consonant from the hierarchy is given by,

$$P(n+1) = p \sum_{i=0}^{20} \binom{n}{i} p^i (1-p)^{n-i}$$

Figure 5 shows the plot of the function $P(n)$ for various values of $p$ which are 0.99, 0.95, 0.9, 0.85, 0.75 and 0.7 respectively in log-log scale. All the curves, for different values of $p$, have a nature similar to that of the degree distribution plot we obtained for PlaNet. This is indicative of the fact that languages choose consonants from the hierarchy with a probability function comparable to $P(n)$.

Owing to the simplified assumption that all the languages have only 21 consonants, the first regime is a straight line; however, we believe a more rigorous mathematical model can be built taking into consideration the $\beta$-distribution rather than just the mean value of the inventory size that can explain the negative slope of the first regime. We look forward to do the same as a part of our future work. Rather, here we try to investigate the effect of the exact distribution of the language inventory size on the nature of the degree distribution of the consonants through a synthetic approach based on the principle of preferential attachment, which is described in the subsequent section.

5 The Synthesis Model based on Preferential Attachment

Albert and Barabási (1999) observed that a common property of many large networks is that the
vertex connectivities follow a scale-free power law distribution. They remarked that two generic mechanisms can be considered to be the cause of this observation: (i) networks expand continuously by the addition of new vertices, and (ii) new vertices attach preferentially to sites (vertices) that are already well connected. They found that a model based on these two ingredients reproduces the observed stationary scale-free distributions, which in turn indicates that the development of large networks is governed by robust self-organizing phenomena that go beyond the particulars of the individual systems.

Inspired by their work and the empirical as well as the mathematical analysis presented above, we propose a preferential attachment model for synthesizing PlaNet (PlaNet_	ext{syn} henceforth) in which the degree distribution of the nodes in \( V_L \) is known. Hence \( V_L = \{L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_{317}\} \) have degrees (consonant inventory size) \( \{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_{317}\} \) respectively. We assume that the nodes in the set \( V_C \) are unlabeled. At each time step, a node \( L_j \) \( (j = 1 \) to \( 317) \) from \( V_L \) tries to attach itself with a new node \( i \in V_C \) to which it is not already connected. The probability \( Pr(i) \) with which the node \( L_j \) gets attached to \( i \) depends on the current degree of \( i \) and is given by

\[
Pr(i) = \frac{k_i + \epsilon}{\sum_{j' \in V_j} (k_{j'} + \epsilon)}
\]

where \( k_i \) is the current degree of the node \( i \), \( V_j \) is the set of nodes in \( V_C \) to which \( L_j \) is not already connected and \( \epsilon \) is the smoothing parameter which is used to reduce bias and favor at least a few attachments with nodes in \( V_j \) that do not have a high \( Pr(i) \). The above process is repeated until all \( L_j \in V_L \) get connected to exactly \( k_j \) nodes in \( V_C \). The entire idea is summarized in Algorithm 1.

Figure 6 shows a partial step of the synthesis process illustrated in Algorithm 1.

**Algorithm 1:** Algorithm for synthesis of PlaNet based on preferential attachment

**Simulation Results:** Simulations reveal that for PlaNet_	ext{syn} the degree distribution of the nodes belonging to \( V_C \) fit well with the analytical results we obtained earlier in section 2. Good fits emerge for the range \( 0.06 \leq \epsilon \leq 0.08 \) with the best being at \( \epsilon = 0.0701 \). Figure 7 shows the degree \( k \) versus \( P_k \) plots for \( \epsilon = 0.0701 \) averaged over 100 simulation runs.

The mean error\(^3\) between the degree distribution plots of PlaNet and PlaNet_	ext{syn} is 0.03 which intuitively signifies that on an average the variation in the two curves is 3%. On the contrary, if there were no preferential attachment incorporated in the model (i.e., all connections were equiprobable)

\(^3\)Mean error is defined as the average difference between the ordinate pairs where the abscissas are equal.
Figure 6: A partial step of the synthesis process. When the language $L_4$ has to connect itself with one of the nodes in the set $V_C$ it does so with the one having the highest degree (=3) rather than with others in order to achieve preferential attachment which is the working principle of our algorithm.

Figure 7: Degree distribution of the nodes in $V_C$ for both PlaNet$_{syn}$, PlaNet, and when the model incorporates no preferential attachment; for PlaNet$_{syn}$, $\epsilon = 0.0701$ and the results are averaged over 100 simulation runs.

6 Conclusions, Discussion and Future Work

In this paper, we have analyzed and synthesized the consonant inventories of the world’s languages in terms of a complex network. We dedicated the preceding sections essentially to,

- Represent the consonant inventories through a bipartite network called PlaNet,
- Provide a systematic study of certain important properties of the consonant inventories with the help of PlaNet,
- Propose analytical explanations for the two regime power law curves (obtained from PlaNet) on the basis of the distribution of the consonant inventory size over languages together with the principle of preferential attachment,
- Provide a simplified mathematical model to support our analytical explanations, and
- Develop a synthesis model for PlaNet based on preferential attachment where the consonant inventory size distribution is known a priori.

We believe that the general explanation provided here for the two regime power law is a fundamental result, and can have a far reaching impact, because two regime behavior is observed in many other networked systems. Until now we have been mainly dealing with the computational aspects of the distribution of consonants over the languages rather than exploring the real world dynamics that gives rise to such a distribution. An issue that draws immediate attention is that how preferential attachment, which is a general phenomenon associated with network evolution, can play a prime role in shaping the consonant inventories of the world’s languages. The answer perhaps is hidden in the fact that language is an evolving system and its present structure is determined by its past evolutionary history. Indeed an explanation based on this evolutionary model, with an initial disparity in the distribution of consonants over languages, can be intuitively verified as follows – let there be a language community of $N$ speakers communicating among themselves by means of only two consonants say /k/ and /g/. If we assume that every speaker has $l$ descendants and language inventories are transmitted with high fidelity, then after $i$ generations it is expected that the community will consist of $ml^i$ /k/ speakers and $nl^i$ /g/ speakers. Now if $m > n$ and $l > 1$, then for sufficiently large $i$, $ml^i \gg nl^i$. Stated differently, the /k/ speakers by far outnumbers the /g/ speakers even if initially the number of /k/ speakers is only slightly higher than that of the /g/ speakers. This phenomenon is similar to that of preferential attachment where language communities get attached to, i.e., select, consonants that are already highly preferred. Nevertheless, it remains to be seen where from such an initial disparity in the distribution of the consonants over languages might...
have originated.

In this paper, we mainly dealt with the occurrence principles of the consonants in the inventories of the world’s languages. The work can be further extended to identify the co-occurrence likelihood of the consonants in the language inventories and subsequently identify the groups or communities within them. Information about such communities can then help in providing an improved insight about the organizing principles of the consonant inventories.
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