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ABSTRACT
This paper discusses a consumer centric view of cyber physical systems and its requirements. It proposes using adaptation through observation based predictions to achieve predictable time and reliability. It proposes new directions in security research that is based on consumer electronics needs. It stipulates that interoperation is crucial and new methods for programming what happens between machines rather than within machines, are required. Finally, systems and theory must meet and verification and online model checking is proposed to achieve an increase in robustness.

BACKGROUND
Emerging consumer electronic devices hold great promise in making our lives more comfortable, aid in everyday health and independent living, as well as in producing economic output. Unfortunately the current generation of consumer devices are difficult to configure and use, they do not interoperate well with each other and the rest of the world, and expose the user to privacy and security concerns. Consumer devices interact with the physical real world either physically such as in sensing and robotics; or with human senses and instructions. Since they interact with the real world the interaction also needs to be temporally connected to that world, in other words be real-time. Since people are increasingly relying on the devices and on combinations of the devices and other computers, a higher level of reliability is also required from them. Combining the demands with low cost and high volumes can be an engineering and business challenge in addition to the open research questions.

If the consumer devices would be able to self-organize into meaningful combinations so as to fulfill human intents, however unclearly given, the devices would not just be individual gadgets but could actually enable new scenarios and functionality, bringing the consumer market to the next generation.

CHALLENGES
Making consumer devices interoperate, discover each other’s capabilities, plan the right course of action, do it predictably in real time, and doing it securely poses a number of challenges:

- Making devices and other computers interoperable and auto-configurable, including real-time.
- Describing, programming, and modeling distributed processes and human behaviors
- Developing new consumer centric security models that do not require centralized authority and complex configuration steps.

BARRIERS
Current popular research approaches are unlikely to produce the solutions necessary since they are

- Stuck on programming languages and rephrasing old approaches in new vocabularies.
- Aiming too low in functionality and hardware requirements. Performance issues are important but should not dominate the agenda.
- The divide between theoreticians and practitioners. In this case modeling and verification ought to become part of the software process and runtime rather than being almost entirely separate.

More focus is needed in what happens between machines and how applications spanning multiple devices and computers can be created. It is fairly well understood how to program a single computer—doing this in a new language or with the smallest possible computing power is not ambitious enough.

Devices should also take advantage of the larger computers around them, thus it is imperative that the small devices are not separate from other, higher-end, computers but part of the solution.
PROPOSED APPROACHES
The goal is to create devices that automatically discover and adapt to their environment and the humans around them. This is achieved through the following:

- **Layered approaches to concepts, languages, protocols, and designs.**
  Extend network protocols to new horizontal layers. Separate syntax from semantics. Build everything out of clearly defined components. Each layer or component could be replaced separately. This means that instead of trying to settle on one language, there are likely to be many. XML is a good example of a two-level language. XML is just syntax. What the data means is described separately (e.g. schema, WSDL). The descriptions can be improved separately from the content. The syntax can be replaced separately from the meaning. This approach obviates the need for vertical protocol stacks, considered harmful, such as in Bluetooth, and the need and desire for a common programming language, such as Java or C#.

- **Pattern descriptions for distributed processes and human behavior**
  - **Output:** Plan distributed application execution based on a description of nodes, messaging patterns, timing and confidence requirements.
  - **Input:** Match observations to models that describe human behavior or environmental processes. Match instrumentation induced context history to the planned application, creating a feedback loop.

  The patterns can be viewed as a serialization of a model, where the planning and matching is the task of relating the outside world to the modeled world.

- **Use probabilistic methods.** An absolute algorithm or plan is not practical in a distributed system due to high level of change and chaotic environments. A probabilistic system may be more reliable. If a stochastic process or model can predict the likelihood of a failure, preventative action can be taken before the failure, rather than after the fact in the system that “cannot fail”. Borrow techniques from economics, such as technical analysis, and other disciplines in creating better predictions. Real-time can be redefined in statistical terms, making the discussion of hard versus soft real-time obsolete.

- **Online modeling.** Combine offline modeling and verification with online models. Derive online verifier from offline model. Use observed context history to drive models and expectations.

- **Indirect, physical, and natural user interfaces.** Instead of a mouse and screen future devices ought to interact with humans in natural ways. This means that the computer should model the human behavior and determine the right action based on the model, triggered perhaps by touch or a nudge.

- **Metadata programming and middleware.**
  Create new programming models. Explicitly deal with metadata, such as component, interface, behavior, human sense interaction, etc. Analyze metadata and drive middleware based on it.

- **Security based on social protocol.** Federate trust rather than centralize. Current security models are akin to an onion, where the inner layers are protected from the outside. A civil society is, however, based on partial trust and partial mistrust without clear hierarchy. This disconnect between models and needs make security work badly. New models that match the needs should be developed.

OBSERVATIONS FROM ATTEMPTS
The author has made preliminary attempts at many of the issues proposed, which gives the motivation for the suggestions. Observations include: Even very simple stochastic processes are meaningful in predicting future timing requirements based on observations of the execution. XML can be efficient in small devices and is surprisingly expressive, even if admittedly ugly to look at. Mixing of multiple programming and data representation languages in one system is not a problem. Separate descriptions of various aspects of the overall system make sense as they can be described in a domain-specific way and
Middleware can combine. Interoperation is actually useful and leads to real applications. Control of physical systems must not be too synchronized, rather sending a script to a robot with micro-movements locally seems better. Context histories can be used to predict behavior. Aggressive and strict componentization is an engineering necessity, including systems software.

**MILESTONES**

1. Define patterns, models, layers. Experiment with multiple descriptions.
2. Develop new security models. Create information models for #1.
3. Develop pattern matching, verification, and prediction mechanisms.
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