

Towards an interpolating $DPLL(\Gamma + \mathcal{T})$

Maria Paola Bonacina

Dipartimento di Informatica
Università degli Studi di Verona
Verona, Italy

November 4, 2011

Motivation

Inductive approach to interpolation

Interpolation for $\text{DPLL}(\Gamma + \mathcal{T})$

Discussion

What is interpolation?

Interpolant I of (A, B) in theory \mathcal{T} : $A \vdash_{\mathcal{T}} B$

- ▶ Implied by A and implies B : $A \vdash_{\mathcal{T}} I$ and $I \vdash_{\mathcal{T}} B$
- ▶ *Uninterpreted* symbols in I *common* to A and B
- ▶ *Interpreted* symbols *allowed* (if \mathcal{T} not empty)

Reverse interpolant I of (A, B) : $A, B \vdash_{\mathcal{T}} \perp$

- ▶ Implied by A and inconsistent with B : $A \vdash_{\mathcal{T}} I$ and $B, I \vdash_{\mathcal{T}} \perp$

Reverse interpolant of (A, B) : interpolant of $(A, \neg B)$

Uninterpreted symbols

- ▶ *A-local* (*B-local*): in A (B) and not in B (A)
- ▶ *Global*: in both

Thus, term/atom/literal/clause:

- ▶ *A-local*: all uninterpreted symbols in A + at least one *A-local*
- ▶ *B-local*: all uninterpreted symbols in B + at least one *B-local*
- ▶ *Global*: all uninterpreted symbols are global
- ▶ Otherwise: *AB-mixed*

Sample application: Automated invariant generation

- ▶ Loop: $\{pre\}$ while C do T $\{post\}$
- ▶ Invariant I made of symbols *common* to pre and $post$
- ▶ A : unfolding of the loop k times
- ▶ B : post-condition violated
- ▶ If post-condition satisfied after k iterations: $A, B \vdash \perp$
- ▶ (Reverse) interpolant of (A, B) : candidate invariant

Improving the state of the art in interpolation

- ▶ *Generality*: interpolants for more logics, theories, inference systems
- ▶ *Quality*: better interpolants; stronger? weaker? shorter?

First direction: interpolation for $DPLL(\Gamma + \mathcal{T})$

What is $DPLL(\Gamma + \mathcal{T})$

- ▶ $DPLL(\Gamma + \mathcal{T})$: SMT-solver with superposition (Γ) integrated
- ▶ Fully automated treatment of quantifiers
- ▶ \mathcal{T} -satisfiability of $S = \mathcal{R} \cup P$
- ▶ \mathcal{R} : non-ground clauses without \mathcal{T} -symbols;
 P : ground clauses with \mathcal{T} -symbols
- ▶ Γ : non-ground clauses + ground unit \mathcal{R} -clauses
- ▶ $DPLL(\mathcal{T})$: all ground clauses

Two approaches to interpolation

- ▶ Building interpolation into the decision procedure (e.g., congruence closure, arrays)
- ▶ Inductive approach:
 - ▶ Given refutation build interpolant by structural induction
 - ▶ Good for generic inference systems

Both needed for $DPLL(\Gamma + \mathcal{T})$

Inductive approach

- ▶ Attach a *partial interpolant* to every clause in the refutation
- ▶ Partial interpolant of \square is interpolant
- ▶ Every inference: Partial interpolant of conclusion built from partial interpolants of premises

Partial interpolant

- ▶ C occurs in a refutation of $A \cup B$
- ▶ $A \wedge B \vdash C$
- ▶ $A \wedge \neg C \vdash \neg B \vee C$
- ▶ Interpolant of $A \wedge \neg C$ and $\neg B \vee C$
- ▶ Reverse interpolant of $A \wedge \neg C$ and $B \wedge \neg C$
- ▶ Change of partition unless C is global
- ▶ Use *projections*

Projections

C : disjunction (conjunction) of literals

- ▶ $C|_A$: A -local (and global literals) of C
- ▶ $C|_B$: B -local and global literals of C
- ▶ \perp (\top) if empty

If clause C has no AB -mixed literals: $C = C|_A \vee C|_B$

Partial interpolant

- ▶ Clause C in refutation of $A \cup B$
- ▶ *Partial interpolant* $PI(C)$: interpolant of $A \wedge \neg(C|_A)$ and $B \wedge \neg(C|_B)$
- ▶ If C is \square : $PI(C)$ interpolant of (A, B)
- ▶ Requirements:
 - ▶ $A \wedge \neg(C|_A) \vdash PI(C)$
 - ▶ $B \wedge \neg(C|_B) \wedge PI(C) \vdash \perp$
 - ▶ $PI(C)$ global

Interpolation system

- ▶ Define *interpolation system*:
For every inference rule: how it builds partial interpolant of conclusion from partial interpolants of premises
- ▶ Prove *complete*:
Show that these formulæ are indeed partial interpolants

Interpolating system for DPLL($\Gamma + \mathcal{T}$)

Interpolating systems for:

1. DPLL
2. Equality sharing
3. DPLL(\mathcal{T})
4. Γ : superposition
5. DPLL($\Gamma + \mathcal{T}$)

Propositional interpolation systems

- ▶ Propositional resolution
- ▶ DPLL: generates proof by resolution
- ▶ Input literals are either *A-local* or *B-local* or *global*
- ▶ Literals in proof are input literals
- ▶ *No AB-mixed* literals
- ▶ Case analysis on literal resolved upon

Interpolation system for resolution

C clause in ground Γ -refutation of $A \cup B$:

- ▶ $C \in A$: $PI(C) = \perp$
- ▶ $C \in B$: $PI(C) = \top$
- ▶ $C \vee D$ resolvent of $p_1 : C \vee L$ and $p_2 : D \vee \neg L$:
 - ▶ L is A -local: $PI(C \vee D) = PI(p_1) \vee PI(p_2)$
 - ▶ L is B -local: $PI(C \vee D) = PI(p_1) \wedge PI(p_2)$
 - ▶ L is global: $PI(C \vee D) = (L \vee PI(p_1)) \wedge (\neg L \vee PI(p_2))$

Equality changes the picture ...

- ▶ What if *AB-mixed equation* $t_a \simeq t_b$ is derived?
- ▶ *Congruence closure*:
 t_a : representative of congruence class of *A*-local terms
 t_b : representative of congruence class of *B*-local terms
Merge: one of them should become global
- ▶ *Rewriting*:
 t_a (t_b): *A*-local (*B*-local) ground term in normal form
 $t_a \succ t_b$: replace t_a with t_b everywhere
 t_b should become global!
- ▶ *A*-local/*B*-local/global change dynamically!

Equality-interpolating theories

\mathcal{T} equality-interpolating:

If $A \cup B \models_{\mathcal{T}} t_a \simeq t_b$ then

$A \cup B \models_{\mathcal{T}} t_a \simeq t \wedge t_b \simeq t$ for some global ground term t

Congruence closure: t representative of new congruence class

Separating ordering

Ordering \succ on terms and literals:
separating if $t \succ s$ whenever s is global and t is not

Rewriting: t_a and t_b rewritten to t

Lemma: Separating ordering implies *no AB-mixed literals* in ground Γ -proof trees

Connecting equality-interpolating and separating ordering

Theorem: The quantifier-free fragment of the theory of equality is *equality-interpolating*

If $A \cup B \models t_a \simeq t_b$ then

$A \cup B \cup \{t_a \not\approx t_b\} \vdash \perp$ by Γ with *separating ordering*

valley proof $t_a \xrightarrow{*} t \xleftarrow{*} t_b$ with no AB -mixed equations

t must be global

Other equality-interpolating theories: *lists*; *linear inequalities* in *rational* arithmetic

Equality sharing aka Nelson-Oppen scheme

- ▶ \mathcal{T} -satisfiability procedure for $\mathcal{T} = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathcal{T}_i$ from \mathcal{T}_i -satisfiability procedure Q_i
- ▶ In DPLL($\Gamma + \mathcal{T}$): Model-based theory combination

For interpolation:

- ▶ All \mathcal{T}_i 's equality-interpolating (equality is the common ground)
- ▶ All Q_i 's generate proofs and \mathcal{T}_i -interpolants
- ▶ Propagated equalities: between shared constants; between ground uninterpreted terms

Interpolation in equality sharing

- ▶ $A \cup B$ set of ground \mathcal{T} -literals (unit \mathcal{T} -clauses)
- ▶ Each Q_i deals with $A_i \cup B_i \cup K$:
interpolation wrt partition (A', B') of $A_i \cup B_i \cup K$
- ▶ Equality-interpolating: K contains no AB -mixed equations
- ▶ $A' = A_i \cup K|_A$ and $B' = B_i \cup K|_B$
- ▶ *Theory-specific partial interpolant* $PI_{(A', B')}^i(C)$ of propagated equation C :
 \mathcal{T}_i -interpolant of $(A' \wedge \neg(C|_A), B' \wedge \neg(C|_B))$

The EQSH interpolation system

C unit clause in refutation $A_i \cup B_i \cup K \vdash_{\mathcal{T}_i} \perp$

- ▶ $C \in A$: $PI(C) = \perp$
- ▶ $C \in B$: $PI(C) = \top$
- ▶ C derived as $A_i \cup B_i \cup K \vdash_{\mathcal{T}_i} C$:
$$PI(C) = (PI_{(A', B')}^i(C) \vee \bigvee_{L \in A'} PI(L)) \wedge \bigwedge_{L \in B'} PI(L)$$

If $K = \emptyset$ (e.g., only one theory, or C does not depend on propagated equations) $PI(C) = PI_{(A', B')}^i(C)$

Interpolation in $DPLL(\mathcal{T})$

- ▶ $A \cup B$ set of ground \mathcal{T} -clauses
- ▶ $DPLL(\mathcal{T})$ -refutation: propositional resolution + \mathcal{T} -lemmas
- ▶ C is \mathcal{T} -lemma means $\neg C$ is \mathcal{T} -unsat
- ▶ No AB -mixed literals: $\neg C = (\neg C)|_A \wedge (\neg C)|_B$
- ▶ $(\neg C)|_A \wedge (\neg C)|_B$ is \mathcal{T} -unsat
- ▶ The \mathcal{T} -interpolant of $((\neg C)|_A, (\neg C)|_B)$ computed by EQSH provides partial interpolant of C in $DPLL(\mathcal{T})$ -refutation

Interpolation system for DPLL(\mathcal{T})

Add one case to interpolation system for propositional resolution:

- ▶ C is \mathcal{T} -lemma:
 $PI(C)$ is \mathcal{T} -interpolant of $((\neg C)|_A, (\neg C)|_B)$ extracted by EQSH from $\neg C \vdash_{\mathcal{T}} \perp$

Interpolation system GI : superposition

C clause in ground Γ -refutation of $A \cup B$:

- ▶ $c: C \vee I[r] \vee D$ generated from $p_1: C \vee s \simeq r$ and $p_2: D \vee I[s]$
 - ▶ $s \simeq r$ A -local: $PI(c) = PI(p_1) \vee PI(p_2)$
 - ▶ $s \simeq r$ B -local: $PI(c) = PI(p_1) \wedge PI(p_2)$
 - ▶ $s \simeq r$, $I[s]$ global: $PI(c) = (s \simeq r \vee PI(p_1)) \wedge (I[s] \vee PI(p_2))$
 - ▶ $s \simeq r$ global, $I[s]$ not:
 $PI(c) = (s \simeq r \vee PI(p_1)) \wedge (s \not\simeq r \vee PI(p_2))$
- ▶ Superposition into equational literal and Simplification: same

Completeness

Theorem: If the ordering is separating, $G\Gamma I$ is a *complete* interpolation system for ground Γ -refutations.

The proof shows that the partial interpolants built by $G\Gamma I$ satisfy the properties of partial interpolants.

Interpolation in $DPLL(\Gamma + \mathcal{T})$

- ▶ Hypothetical clauses: $H \triangleright C$
- ▶ H : conjunction of ground literals assigned in $DPLL(\mathcal{T})$
- ▶ If Γ infers C from $C_1, \dots, C_m, L_{m+1}, \dots, L_k$,
infer $H \triangleright C$ from $H_1 \triangleright C_1, \dots, H_m \triangleright C_m, L_{m+1}, \dots, L_k$,
where $H = H_1 \cup \dots \cup H_m \cup \{L_{m+1}, \dots, L_k\}$
- ▶ $H \triangleright C$ means $\neg H \vee C$: hypotheses are carried along
- ▶ Discharged if Γ generates $H \triangleright \square$: conflict clause $\neg H$
- ▶ $DPLL(\Gamma + \mathcal{T})$ -refutation: $DPLL(\mathcal{T})$ -refutation + Γ -subproof

Interpolation system for DPLL($\Gamma + \mathcal{T}$)

Add one case to interpolation system for DPLL(\mathcal{T}):

- ▶ Hypothetical clause $H \triangleright C$ inferred by Γ from $H_1 \triangleright C_1, \dots, H_m \triangleright C_m$ and L_{m+1}, \dots, L_k :
partial interpolant produced by interpolation system for Γ
for Γ -inference inferring C from $C_1, \dots, C_m, L_{m+1}, \dots, L_k$

Completeness follows from that of the interpolation systems for Γ and DPLL(\mathcal{T})

Current work: beyond ground problems

- ▶ Substitutions: L global but $L\sigma$ not necessarily
- ▶ Separating ordering not enough to avoid AB -mixed literals
- ▶ Introduction of quantifiers: \exists for A -local terms and \forall for B -local terms
- ▶ Provisional partial interpolants + introduction of quantifiers

Summary of contributions

- ▶ Unified framework of definitions for interpolation
- ▶ Survey of interpolation systems for DPLL, equality sharing and $DPLL(\mathcal{T})$, *reconstructing proofs* and including *model based theory combination*
- ▶ Interpolation and equality: connecting *equality-interpolating theory* and *separating ordering*
- ▶ A first *complete* interpolation system for *ground* Γ -refutations
- ▶ Interpolation system for general Γ -refutations: in progress
- ▶ Putting all together: interpolation system for $DPLL(\Gamma + \mathcal{T})$

Acknowledgements

- ▶ Joint work with Moa Johansson
- ▶ Building on work by (in reverse chronological order):
 - ▶ Georg Weissenbacher
 - ▶ Vijay D'Silva
 - ▶ Madan Musuvathi
 - ▶ Greta Yorsh
 - ▶ Ken McMillan
 - ▶

Thank you!